



Minutes of meeting

Surrey County Council Local Committee (Guildford)

Date: Monday 13 February 2012

Time: 6.10 pm

Place: Committee Room 1, Guildford Borough Council Offices, Millmead,
Guildford GU2 4BB

Members present:

Surrey County Council

Mr Mark Brett-Warburton (Guildford South-East) Chairman

Ms Fiona White (Guildford West)

Ms Pauline Searle (Guildford North)

Mr Keith Taylor (Shere)

Mr Bill Barker (Horsleys)

Ms Marsha Moseley (Ash)

Guildford Borough Council (for Transportation matters)

Councillor Caroline Reeves (Friary & St. Nicolas)

Councillor Christian Holliday (Burpham)

Councillor Diana Lockyer-Nibbs (Normandy)

Councillor Bob McShee (Worplesdon)

Councillor Tony Phillips (Onslow)

Councillor Jenny Wicks (Clandon & Horsley)

* substitute

56/11 Apologies for absence and substitutions [Item 1]

Mr Graham Ellwood, Mr Simon Gimson, Mr David Goodwin and Cllr Tony Rooth, Cllr Nigel Manning and Cllr James Palmer gave their apologies for absence.

57/11 Minutes of the last meetings (7 December 2011) [Item 2]

The minutes of the meeting on 7 December 2011 were agreed by the Committee and signed by the Chairman.

Minutes to be agreed at the meeting of the Local Committee on 21 March 2012

58/11 Declarations of interest [Item 3]

A declaration of interest was made by County Councillor Fiona White in relation to item 6 as she was a member of the Barn Youth Project Committee.

59/11 Petitions [Item 4]

No petitions were submitted

60/11 Written questions [Item 5]

- a) There were no written member questions submitted.
- b) There were no written member questions submitted

61/11 Local Prevention Framework [Item 6]

1. A tabled paper was presented by the Deputy Head of Youth Support Service. The Chairman of the Youth Services Task Group spoke in support of the recommendations.
2. Committee members queried if sufficient information had been provided within the report in regard to how the successful bid had been selected. It was explained that for legal reasons the procurement process prevented a public discussion of the unsuccessful bids and that the Task Group had been mandated to undertake consideration of the detail during the selection process. Members would consider if future procurement decisions allocated to the Local Committee should be conducted in private so that greater detail could be shared amongst the members.
3. Members desired more detail of how the successful programme was to be implemented. It was suggested that Surrey Youth Consortium provide a presentation to the committee at a later date and explain how the priority areas of Ash, Stoke and Westborough would be targeted as per the committee's recommendations agreed on 7 December 2011. This proposal was welcomed.
4. It was noted that the bid specification had requested potential suppliers to focus on school age young people as this is a preventative programme. Therefore no businesses or employers were directly involved or providing apprenticeships or work experience through the successful bid.
5. A small team of Youth Support Service Commissioning Officers would monitor the performance of the work of the Surrey Youth Consortium and there will regular reports back to the Local Committee. Members requested that future performance reports always contain a financial section to account for expenditure.
6. The Deputy Head of the Youth Support Service said that the decline of the overall numbers of young people not in education, employment or training; schools attendance indicators and levels of offending would be used to assess the performance and success of the Framework.

Minutes to be agreed at the meeting of the Local Committee on 21 March 2012

7. Funding for the Local Prevention Framework was scheduled under a revenue stream for the next three years subject to council budgeting. It was anticipated that the contract for Local Preventative services would be renewed twice during the period.
8. A contractor could be removed or a contract adapted should there have been a change in the needs of young people as agreed at the December committee.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. to approve SCC Officers' recommendations to award a contract for a twelve-month period to the Surrey Youth Consortium

Reason for decision:

The Local Committee agreed the supplier bid supported the council's priorities under the Local Prevention Framework.

62/11 Local Sustainable Transport Fund [Item 7]

The Committee considered the report bought by the Transport Co-Ordination Centre and Initiatives and Development Team Manager.

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. That the approved LSTF Programme for 2011/12 be amended to bring forward a footway improvement scheme on A25 Spectrum (north side and south side), and
- ii. To note that the A25 Middleton Road shared footway/cycleway scheme be rescheduled as part of the delivery programme for 2012/13

Reason for decision:

The Local Committee agreed the recommendation would help to keep the Programme on schedule.

63/11 Forward Programme [Item 8]

The Local Committee (Guildford) agreed:

- i. to note the Forward Programme 2011/12, as outlined in Appendix 1

[Meeting ended at 7.03pm]

..... **CHAIRMAN**
Cllr Mark Brett-Warburton

Contact:

Michelle Collins
(Community Partnerships Team Leader –
West)

01372 832606
michelle.collins@surreycc.gov.uk

Minutes to be agreed at the meeting of the Local Committee on 21 March 2012

Carrie Anderson
(Community Partnership & Committee
Officer)

01483 517336
carolyn.anderson@surreycc.gov.uk

The next meeting of the Committee will be on Wednesday 21 March at 7pm, at East Horsley Village Hall.

Informal Public Question Time (prior to the meeting)

The following issues were raised during the informal public question session:

1. Mr Meldrum from the Merrow Residents Association sought further clarification over his question to the December Local Committee as regards parking in Merrow and the Park and Ride service. He would be raising the matter with his association in April and in the meantime would be working with county officers to progress.